## **Aspects to Consider**

### Our directive, as per Uniformity notes:

That the committee take up a project on trust taxation with a focus on trust residency and whether DING trusts are being used appropriately, and whether they're being used as a tool for tax avoidance, and whether states should consider legislation regarding DING trusts.

# Our first step, as per Uniformity notes:

Develop pros and cons and weigh forward on the project

#### Question 1: Is this an area where uniformity is needed?

Is this where state interests lie, and would the MTC be the appropriate vehicle for action?

### Question 2: How would uniformity be achieved?

- 1. Should this be an additional measure applicable only to non-grantor inter vivos trusts? Should it apply to everything?
- 2. Which residence factors?
  - a. using the state's law as the governing law of the trust;
  - b. administering the trust in the state;
  - c. having a grantor that is a resident of the state;
  - d. having a trustee that is a resident of the state;
  - e. having a beneficiary that is a resident of the state;
  - f. owning assets located in the state; or receiving state-source income
- 3. How many residence factors?
- 4. This will make multiple state residences more common. How to decide?
  - a. Hierarchy?
  - b. Grantor + another factor?
  - c. Apportionment?
    - i. If so, which factors (remember, must be constitutionally sound)?
- 5. Credits? Throwback?

#### Question 3: Is it a viable project?