WITHHOLDING CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS WHERE NO ADJUSTMENTS WERE NECESSARY

CASE NUMBER:

LEGAL NAME; T/P REPRESENTATIVE: TELEPHONE NUMBER: NATURE OF THE BUSINESS

Respond to comments with the following:

- Yes denotes no problems discovered.
- No denotes problems discovered and narrative comments are attached
- YES NO Situation

Situation 1 - Non-registered Taxpayers

The taxpayer currently does not have any employees and does not anticipate hiring any employees _____ in the foreseeable future.

Situation 2 – Registered taxpayers

____ The taxpayer is registered for Louisiana withholding tax purposes.

Debits to the Louisiana withholding tax payable account consist of either Louisiana withholding tax remitted to the Department or valid correcting debits resulting from the incorrect posting of credits

Louisiana withholding tax remitted by the taxpayer agrees with Louisiana individual income tax with _____ held from the employees.

The amounts collected from employees agree with the amounts that should have been withheld according to the withholding tables and the employees' L-4 (or W-4) forms.

The examination of the L-4 (or W-4) forms confirms that excess exemptions (more than 9) are not _____ being claimed by any employee.

Audit Checklist:	Yes	No	N/A
Is the taxpayer currently filing for withholding?			
Is the taxpayer currently filing IT and CFT returns?			
Is a CR-55 included in this audit file?			

Computer verification: BMF#: _____ Date Checked:___

Tax	Balance	Month/Year	Disposition	

Were any refund checks affecting tax issued to this taxpayer during the audit period? yes no If yes, explain.

If additional information is required, attach additional pages for comments.

Signature

LGST CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS WHERE NO ADJUSTMENTS WERE NECESSARY

CASE NUMBER:

LEGAL NAME; T/P REPRESENTATIVE: TELEPHONE NUMBER: NATURE OF THE BUSINESS

Respond to comments with the following:

	No	 s - denotes no problems discovered. - denotes problems discovered and narrative comments are attached
YES	NO	Amounts reported on sales tax returns equal amounts reflected on the income tax returns and general ledgers.
		Sales tax collected was properly remitted and all deductions of the tax collected were correct.
		Sales invoices were secured and determined to be intact. Control document used:
		Sales transactions were properly taxed.
		Deductions reported were in accordance with the sales tax statutes and documentation for these transactions was maintained.
		Consumable purchase invoices were secured and determined to be intact. Control document used:
		Consumable purchase invoices were properly taxed by the vendor or the taxpayer accrued.
		Fixed asset invoices were properly taxed by the vendor or the taxpayer accrued. Control document used:
	Audit Cl	necklist: Yes No N/A

Audit Checklist:YesNoN/AReviewed all sources of income for LGST.Is the taxpayer currently filing for withholding?Is the taxpayer currently filing IT and CFT returns?Is a CR-55 included in this audit file?

Computer verification: BMF#: _____ Date Checked:_____

Tax	Balance	Month/Year	Disposition	

Were any refund checks affecting tax issued to this taxpayer during the audit period? yes no If yes, explain.

If additional information is required, attach additional pages for comments.

Signature

COMMENTS FOR USE ON ALL AUDITS

Corporation (Reg	ular C)
TURE OF BUSINESS	
	If there are any questions
CC loYesExpla	FT
mitations through	
a returns. Identify those be nal sheets.	eing audited with an asterisk. If
n <u>business activity, mergers</u>	<u>, name changes</u> , etc. Attach
Accounting pportionment Allocable	
es No	
Date: S	ource:
n. Date examined:	
BALANCE	DISPOSITION
Date examined:	
Date examined:	EXPLANATION
	EXPLANATION
	Corporation (Reg Subchapter S Other Specify

Answer the following with yes, no, or N/A (not applicable). If a problem has been discovered, comment in the Additional Information section below.

TAXABLE BASE: Were all items not included in the taxable base examined? Are the balance sheets per the Louisiana returns the same as the federal return balance sheets? Did the taxpayer net intercompany payables and receivables? Comments: Is there an overaccrual of federal income tax? Do amounts reported on the Louisiana return tie to the amounts on the federal return and the general ledger? Include reconciliation in the file. Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?

REVENUE FACTOR:

	Do total and Louisiana revenue per the by-state information tie to amounts eported? Specific Source:
C	Did the taxpayer exclude gains on sale of assets from the factor?
C	Did the taxpayer properly attribute intercompany interest and dividends to
L	Louisiana?
C	Did the taxpayer properly report partnership revenue in the factor? Indicate the
n	nethod used: Partnership's net income Gross income, including
lo	osses, Other (identify):
V	Nere problems noted but determined to be immaterial?
PROPERTY	Y FACTOR:
C	Do total and Louisiana property per the by-state information tie to amounts
r	eported? Specific Source:

Do	total and Louisiana property per the by-state information tie to amounts
rep	orted? Specific Source:
Are	total assets per Louisiana Schedule A and Schedule M the same?
	the taxpayer properly attribute intercompany investments and advances to
Lou	iisiana?
	assets reported on the Louisiana return tie to the amounts on the federal
retu	Irn and the general ledger? Include reconciliation in the file.
We	re the accounts receivable properly attributed to Louisiana by the taxpayer?
Me	thod used Actual or ratio?
Are	there any negative investments in subsidiaries?
We	re problems noted but determined to be immaterial?
CONCLUSION	N:
Loc	the texpeyer been informed in writing of any problems too immeterial to

U U		U			ч.	
			н	20	tho	ta

 - Has the taxpayer been informed in writing of any problems too immaterial to
adjust? Date:
 Has the taxpayer been informed in writing that the audit file will be submitted as a
"no change"? Date:
 Were any amended Louisiana returns filed and examined?

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

In the case of a no change, I have performed a limited number of procedures and have determined to the best of my ability that no material adjustments are evident and no additional taxes are due the state of Louisiana. Base on the procedures performed, I recommend a no change.

In the unaudited case, I have not performed audit procedures to determine if any taxes are in fact due the state of Louisiana. Therefore, if for some reason it becomes necessary to utilize any information from the subject audit periods, I recommend an audit of those periods.

Auditor's Signature:

COMMENTS FOR USE ON ALL AUDITS

BM TA TIT TE FA		NK(S)	Corporation (R Subchapter S Other Specify	
HIS	TORY OF T	AXPAYER AND NAT	TURE OF BUSINESS	
1.	Commercia on this, exp			If there are any questions
2.	Periods auc Are there ar	lited? IT ny short periods? No	D Yes E>	_ CFT plain
3.	Waivers ext	end the statute of lir	nitations through	
4.		iates filing Louisiana our, provide addition		e being audited with an asterisk. If
5	History Inc	lude information on	husiness activity, merc	ers, name changes, etc. Attach
6.	Taxpayer F Auditor's F	• ·	portionment	
8.	Federal au Periods Co Periods Un Periods in 7 Adjustment	mpleted der Audit	s No	
8.	Legal name	e verified? Yes	Date:	Source:
9.	Balances e	xamined per system	. Date examined:	
ΤY	ΡΕ ΤΑΧ	MONTH/Y EAR	BALANCE	DISPOSITION
10.	Refunds iss	sued per system. Da	ate examined:	
<u>P</u>	ERIOD	AMOUNT	DATE ISSUED	EXPLANATION

LOUISIANA CORPORATION INCOME TAX

Answer the following with yes, no, or N/A (not applicable). If a problem has been discovered, comment in the Additional Information section below.

RECOMMENDATION:	
No Change, limited procedures performed, immaterial adjustments	
Unaudited – Losses could not be overcome. If the taxpayer utilizes the los	
adjustments may be required at that time. No procedures performed to de	etermine
correctness of losses. Workpapers computing possible changes to loss attached to returns.	
TOTAL NET INCOME: Have you examined all differences in federal and state net income?	
Did taxpayer file any amended Federal returns?	
Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	
ALLOCABLE INCOME:	
Has the taxpayer properly allocated all allocable income items to total and	
Has the taxpayer properly attributed interest expense to allocable income?	
Has the taxpayer properly computed overhead and attributed it to allocable Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	e income?
REVENUE FACTOR:	unto reported
Do the total and Louisiana revenue per the by-state information tie to amo in the revenue factor? Specific Source:	unts reported
(Note: "Taxpayer Records" is not an acceptable specific record.)	
Has the taxpayer included any allocable income in the factor?	
Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	
PROPERTY FACTOR: Do total and Louisiana property per the by-state information tie to amounts	s reported?
Specific Source:	
Did the taxpayer exclude assets producing (or held to produce) allocable in	ncome from
the factor? Has the taxpayer netted any reserves other than allowable valuation reser	ves with the
assets?	ves with the
Do assets reported on the Louisiana return tie to the amounts on the feder	al return and
the general ledger?	
Has the taxpayer excluded assets not producing income from the factor? Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	
SALARY AND WAGE FACTOR:	
Do total and Louisiana salaries and wages per the by-state information tie	to amounts
reported? Specific Source:	
Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	
SEPARATE ACCOUNTING:	
Has depletion been properly computed by the taxpayer?	
Has the taxpayer attributed overhead and federal income tax (where appli	cable) to
properties in computing Louisiana depletion? Has the taxpayer properly reported to Louisiana revenue and expenses or	n Louisiana
properties?	
Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	
FEDERAL TAX DEDUCTION:	
Has federal income tax deduction been computed properly by the taxpaye	r?
Is there a federal income tax deduction allowed? If no, why?	
Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	
FEDERAL REFUNDS:	
Were federal refunds received or requested? Were any federal refunds reported on the Louisiana return and computer p	properly?
Were problems noted but determined to be immaterial?	iopony.
CONCLUSION:	
Has the taxpayer been informed in writing of any problems too immaterial	to adjust?
Date: Has the taxpayer been informed in writing that the audit file will be submiti	ted as a "no
change"? Date:	
Has the taxpayer been informed in writing that the audit file will be submitt	ted as

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

Additional lines may be added.

In the case of a no change, I have performed a limited number of procedures and have determined to the best of my ability that no material adjustments are evident and no additional taxes are due the state of Louisiana. Based on the procedures performed, I recommend a no change.

In the unaudited case, I have *not* performed audit procedures to determine if any taxes are in fact due the state of Louisiana. Therefore, if for some reason it becomes necessary to utilize any information from the subject audit periods, I recommend an audit of those periods.

Auditor's Signature: