

MINUTES OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING HELD JANUARY 29, 2016 VIA TELECONFERENCE

I. Welcome and Introductions

Rich Jackson, Idaho, called the meeting to order at 11:04 AM EST. Greg Matson asked members and participants to introduce themselves, and confirmed the presence of a quorum. The following is a list of members and participants:

Julie Magee	Alabama	Chris Coffman	Washington State
	Department of		Department of
	Revenue		Revenue
Steve Cordi	District of	Michael Fatale	Massachusetts
	Columbia Office		Department of
	of Tax and		Revenue
	Revenue		
Rich Jackson	Idaho State Tax	Wood Miller	Missouri
_	Commission		Department of
			Revenue
Mike Kadas, Lee	Montana	Garth Spencer	Student at NYU
Baerlocher, Gene	Department of	_	
Walborn	Revenue		
Ryan	North Dakota	Karen Boucher	Deloitte
Rauschenberger,	State Tax		
Matt Peyerl	Commission		
Gary Humphrey	Oregon	Karl Frieden	Council on State
	Department of		Taxation
	Revenue		
Nancy Prosser	Texas	Diann Smith	McDermott Will &
	Comptroller of		Emery
	Public Accounts		
John Valentine	Utah State Tax		
	Commission		

II. Initial Public Comment Period

Karl Frieden, COST, expressed two concerns regarding the Proposed Sec. 17 Model Market Sourcing Regulations, which are outlined, among others, in a letter submitted to the Executive Committee. First, the Section 17 model market sourcing statute allows taxpayers to use a "reasonable approximation" method when the state or states of assignment of receipts cannot be determined. Mr.

Frieden would like the taxpayer to be permitted to change its method of reasonable approximation on a retroactive basis by amending open returns, particularly since the taxing agency is permitted to challenge taxpayers' methodology from prior open years. Second, when a taxpayer changes its method of reasonable approximation, Mr. Frieden believes it would be too burdensome to establish that the new methodology "improves the accuracy" of assigning the receipts. He argued the taxpayer should have to show only that the new method is reasonable.

III. Uniformity Item before the Executive Committee for Action: Draft Amendments to the Commission's General Allocation and Apportionment Regulations

At their last meeting, in Charleston, South Carolina, the Uniformity Committee voted to send the draft amendments to the Commission's General Allocation and Apportionment Regulations to the Executive Committee for consideration for Bylaw 7 hearing. Gary Humphrey, Oregon, noted that the Uniformity Committee has not met in the intervening time, and so has not been able to give full consideration to COST's comments. He recommended that those comments be considered as part of the public hearing process, for further analysis and input from the states and the hearing officer. Mr. Humphrey moved to approve the draft for public hearing. The motion passed by roll call vote, with one abstention.

Helen Hecht noted that the legal division has received some non-substantive corrections to the draft. These will be incorporated into the working draft. She appreciated the input, and asked the participants to point out any other errors they notice in the draft.

IV. Uniformity Committee Report: Request for Guidance on Model Whistleblower Statute Project

Mr. Miller advised the participants that a work group had been formed for the model whistleblower statute project, but, although it had held several conferences, the chair, Richard Cram, Kansas, was the only consistent state participant. At its fall meeting, the Uniformity Committee voted to discontinue the project; however, since they had previously sought guidance from the Executive Committee they asked it to weigh in on the decision. Mike Kadas, Montana, agreed with Mr. Jackson that the Executive Committee should honor the recommendation made by the Uniformity Committee.

Mr. Frieden commented that COST had no particular interest in the whistleblower portion of the project, and had been more interested in the initial false claims act project.

John Valentine, Utah, moved to ratify the decision of the Uniformity Committee to discontinue the project. The motion passed by roll call vote, with two abstentions.

V. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 11:40 AM Eastern time.