Multistate Tax Commission
Allocation and Apportionment Regulations

The former Section 17 Regulations are repealed; in their place is the following:

••• Reg. IV.17. (a). Receipts Factor: Sales Other Than Sales of Tangible Personal Property in This State: General Rules.

In general, Article IV.17. provides for the inclusion in the numerator of the receipts factor of gross receipts arising from transactions other than sales of tangible personal property.

(1) Market-Based Sourcing.

Receipts, other than receipts described in Article IV.16 (from sales of tangible personal property) are in [state] within the meaning of Article IV.17 and this Reg. IV.17 if and to the extent that the taxpayer’s market for the sales is in [state]. In general, the provisions in this section establish uniform rules for (1) determining whether and to what extent the market for a sale other than the sale of tangible personal property is in [state], (2) reasonably approximating the state or states of assignment where the state or states cannot be determined, (3) excluding receipts from the sale of intangible property from the numerator and denominator of the receipts factor pursuant to Article IV.17(a)(4)(ii)(c), and (4) excluding receipts from the denominator of the receipts factor, pursuant to Article IV.17(c) where the state or states of assignment cannot be determined or reasonably approximated, or where the taxpayer is not taxable in the state to which the receipts are assigned as determined under Article IV.3 and applicable regulations,

(2) Outline of topics.

The provisions in this Reg. IV.17 are organized as follows:

(a) General Rules
   (1) Market-Based Sourcing
   (2) Outline of Topics
   (3) Definitions
   (4) General Principles of Application; Contemporaneous Records
   (5) Rules of Reasonable Approximation
   (6) Rules with respect to Exclusion of Receipts from the Receipts Factor
   (7) Changes in Methodology; [tax administrator] Review
   (8) Further Guidance
(b) Sale, Rental, Lease or License of Real Property
(c) Rental, Lease or License of Tangible Personal Property
(d) Sale of a Service
   (1) General Rule
   (2) In-Person Services
(3) Services Delivered to the Customer or on Behalf of the Customer, or Delivered Electronically Through the Customer

(4) Professional Services

(e) License or Lease of Intangible Property
   (1) General Rules
   (2) License of a Marketing Intangible
   (3) License of a Production Intangible
   (4) License of a Mixed Intangible
   (5) License of Intangible Property where Substance of the Transaction Resembles a Sale of Goods or Services

(f) Sale of Intangible Property
   (1) Assignment of Receipts

(g) Special Rules
   (1) Software Transactions
   (2) Sales or Licenses of Digital Goods and Services
   (3) Enforcement of Legal Rights

(3) Definitions.

For the purposes of this Reg. IV.17 these terms have the following meanings:

(A) “Billing address” means the location indicated in the books and records of the taxpayer as the primary mailing address relating to a customer’s account as of the time of the transaction as kept in good faith in the normal course of business and not for tax avoidance purposes.

(B) “Business customer” means a customer that is a business operating in any form, including a sole proprietorship. Sales to a non-profit organization, to a trust, to the U.S. Government, to a foreign, state or local government, or to an agency or instrumentality of that government are treated as sales to a business customer and must be assigned consistent with the rules for those sales.

(C) “Code” means the Internal Revenue Code as currently written and subsequently amended.

(D) “Individual customer” means a customer that is not a business customer.

(E) “Intangible property” generally means property that is not physical or whose representation by physical means is merely incidental and includes, without limitation, copyrights; patents; trademarks; trade names; brand names; franchises; licenses; trade secrets; trade dress; information; know-how; methods; programs; procedures; systems; formulae; processes; technical data; designs; licenses; literary, musical, or artistic compositions; information; ideas; contract rights including broadcast rights; agreements not to compete; goodwill and going concern value; securities; and, except as otherwise provided in Reg. IV.17, computer software. Receipts from the sale of intangible property may be
excluded from the numerator and denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor pursuant to Article IV.17 and Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(D).

(F) “Place of order,” means the physical location from which a customer places an order for a sale other than a sale of tangible personal property from a taxpayer, resulting in a contract with the taxpayer.

(G) “Population” means the most recent population data maintained by the U.S. Census Bureau for the year in question as of the close of the taxable period.

(H) “Related party” means:

1. a stockholder who is an individual, or a member of the stockholder's family set forth in section 318 of the Code if the stockholder and the members of the stockholder's family own, directly, indirectly, beneficially or constructively, in the aggregate, at least 50 per cent of the value of the taxpayer's outstanding stock;

2. a stockholder, or a stockholder's partnership, limited liability company, estate, trust or corporation, if the stockholder and the stockholder's partnerships, limited liability companies, estates, trusts and corporations own directly, indirectly, beneficially or constructively, in the aggregate, at least 50 per cent of the value of the taxpayer's outstanding stock; or

3. a corporation, or a party related to the corporation in a manner that would require an attribution of stock from the corporation to the party or from the party to the corporation under the attribution rules of the Code if the taxpayer owns, directly, indirectly, beneficially or constructively, at least 50 per cent of the value of the corporation's outstanding stock. The attribution rules of the Code shall apply for purposes of determining whether the ownership requirements of this definition have been met. [or insert state definition]

(I) “State where a contract of sale is principally managed by the customer,” means the primary location at which an employee or other representative of a customer serves as the primary contact person for the taxpayer with respect to the day-to-day execution and performance of a contract entered into by the taxpayer with the customer.

(4) General Principles of Application; Contemporaneous Records.

In order to satisfy the requirements of Reg. IV.17, a taxpayer’s assignment of receipts from sales of other than tangible personal property must be consistent with the following principles:

(A) A taxpayer shall apply the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17 based on objective criteria and shall consider all sources of information reasonably available to the taxpayer at the time of its tax filing including, without limitation, the taxpayer’s books and records kept in the normal course of business. A taxpayer shall determine its method of assigning receipts in good faith, and apply it consistently with respect to similar transactions and year to year. A taxpayer shall retain contemporaneous
records that explain the determination and application of its method of assigning its receipts, including its underlying assumptions, and shall provide those records to the [Agency] upon request.

(B) Reg. IV.17 provides various assignment rules that apply sequentially in a hierarchy. For each sale to which a hierarchical rule applies, a taxpayer must make a reasonable effort to apply the primary rule applicable to the sale before seeking to apply the next rule in the hierarchy (and must continue to do so with each succeeding rule in the hierarchy, where applicable). For example, in some cases, the applicable rule first requires a taxpayer to determine the state or states of assignment, and if the taxpayer cannot do so, the rule requires the taxpayer to reasonably approximate the state or states. In these cases, the taxpayer must attempt to determine the state or states of assignment (i.e., apply the primary rule in the hierarchy) in good faith and with reasonable effort before it may reasonably approximate the state or states.

(C) A taxpayer’s method of assigning its receipts, including the use of a method of approximation, where applicable, must reflect an attempt to obtain the most accurate assignment of receipts consistent with the regulatory standards set forth in Reg. IV.17, rather than an attempt to lower the taxpayer’s tax liability. A method of assignment that is reasonable for one taxpayer may not necessarily be reasonable for another taxpayer, depending upon the applicable facts.

(5) Rules of Reasonable Approximation.

(A) In General. In general, Reg. IV.17 establishes uniform rules for determining whether and to what extent the market for a sale other than the sale of tangible personal property is in [state]. The regulation also sets forth rules of reasonable approximation, which apply if the state or states of assignment cannot be determined. In some instances, the reasonable approximation must be made in accordance with specific rules of approximation prescribed in Reg. IV.17. In other cases, the applicable rule in Reg. IV.17 permits a taxpayer to reasonably approximate the state or states of assignment, using a method that reflects an effort to approximate the results that would be obtained under the applicable rules or standards set forth in Reg.IV.17.

(B) Approximation Based Upon Known Sales. In an instance where, applying the applicable rules set forth in Reg. IV.17(d), (Sale of a Service), a taxpayer can ascertain the state or states of assignment of a substantial portion of its receipts from sales of substantially similar services (“assigned receipts”), but not all of those sales, and the taxpayer reasonably believes, based on all available information, that the geographic distribution of some or all of the remainder of those sales generally tracks that of the assigned receipts, it shall include receipts from those sales which it believes tracks the geographic distribution of the assigned receipts in its receipts factor in the same proportion as its assigned receipts. This rule also applies in the context of licenses and sales of intangible property where the substance of the transaction resembles a sale of goods or services. See Reg.s IV.17.(e).(5) and (f).(1)(C).
(C) Related-Party Transactions – Information Imputed from Customer to Taxpayer.
Where a taxpayer has receipts subject to this Reg. IV.17 from transactions with a related-party customer, information that the customer has that is relevant to the sourcing of receipts from these transactions is imputed to the taxpayer.

(6) Rules with Respect to Exclusion of Receipts from the Receipts Factor

(A) The receipts factor only includes those amounts defined as receipts under Article IV.1(g) and applicable regulations.

(B) Certain receipts arising from the sale of intangibles are excluded from the numerator and denominator of the sales factor pursuant to Article IV.17(a)(4)(ii)(C). See Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(D).

(C) In a case in which a taxpayer cannot ascertain the state or states to which receipts of a sale are to be assigned pursuant to the applicable rules set forth in Reg. IV.17 (including through the use of a method of reasonable approximation, where relevant) using a reasonable amount of effort undertaken in good faith, the receipts must be excluded from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor pursuant to Article IV.17.(c). and these regulations.

(D) In a case in which a taxpayer can ascertain the state or states to which receipts from a sale are to be assigned pursuant to the applicable rules set forth in Reg. IV.17, but the taxpayer is not taxable in one or more of those states, pursuant to Article IV.3 and applicable regulations, the receipts that would otherwise be assigned to those states where the taxpayer is not taxable must be excluded from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor pursuant to Article IV.17.(c).

(7) Changes in Methodology; [tax administrator] Review

(A) Nothing in the regulations adopted here pursuant to Article IV.17 is intended to limit the application of Article IV.18 or the authority granted to the [tax administrator] under Section 18. To the extent that regulations adopted pursuant to Section 18 conflict with provisions of these regulations adopted pursuant to Section 17, the regulations adopted pursuant to Section 18 control. If the application of Section 17 or the regulations adopted pursuant thereto result in the attribution of receipts to the taxpayer’s receipts factor that does not fairly represent the extent of the taxpayer's business activity in [state], the taxpayer may petition for or the [tax administrator] may require the use of a different method for attributing those receipts.

(B) General Rules Applicable to Original Returns. In any case in which a taxpayer files an original return for a taxable year in which it properly assigns its receipts using a method of assignment, including a method of reasonable approximation, in accordance with the rules stated in Reg. IV.17., the application of such method of assignment shall be deemed to be a correct determination by the taxpayer of the state or states of assignment to which the method is properly applied. In those cases, neither the [tax administrator] nor the taxpayer (through the form of an
audit adjustment, amended return, abatement application or otherwise) may
modify the taxpayer’s methodology as applied for assigning those receipts for the
taxable year. However, the [tax administrator] and the taxpayer may each
subsequently, through the applicable administrative process, correct factual errors
or calculation errors with respect to the taxpayer’s application of its filing
methodology.

(C) [tax administrator] Authority to Adjust a Taxpayer’s Return. The [tax
administrator’s] ability to review and adjust a taxpayer’s assignment of receipts
on a return to more accurately assign receipts consistently with the rules or
standards of Reg. IV.17, includes, but is not limited to, each of the following
potential actions.

1. In a case in which a taxpayer fails to properly assign receipts from a sale in
accordance with the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17, including the failure to
properly apply a hierarchy of rules consistent with the principles of Reg.
IV.17.(a).(4)(B), the [tax administrator] may adjust the assignment of the
receipts in accordance with the applicable rules in Reg. IV.17.

2. In a case in which a taxpayer uses a method of approximation to assign its
receipts and the [tax administrator] determines that the method of
approximation employed by the taxpayer is not reasonable, the [tax
administrator] may substitute a method of approximation that the [tax
administrator] determines is appropriate or may exclude the receipts from the
taxpayer’s numerator and denominator, as appropriate.

3. In a case in which the [tax administrator] determines that a taxpayer’s method
of approximation is reasonable, but has not been applied in a consistent manner
with respect to similar transactions or year to year, the [tax administrator] may
require that the taxpayer apply its method of approximation in a consistent
manner.

4. In a case in which a taxpayer excludes receipts from the denominator of its
receipts factor on the theory that the assignment of the receipts cannot be
reasonably approximated, the [tax administrator] may determine that the
exclusion of those receipts is not appropriate, and may instead substitute a
method of approximation that the [tax administrator] determines is
appropriate.

5. In a case in which a taxpayer fails to retain contemporaneous records that
explain the determination and application of its method of assigning its
receipts, including its underlying assumptions, or fails to provide those records
to the [tax administrator] upon request, the [tax administrator] may treat the
taxpayer’s assignment of receipts as unsubstantiated, and may adjust the
assignment of the receipts in a manner consistent with the applicable rules in
Reg. IV.17.
6. In a case in which the [tax administrator] concludes that a customer’s billing address was selected by the taxpayer for tax avoidance purposes, the [tax administrator] may adjust the assignment of receipts from sales to that customer in a manner consistent with the applicable rules in Reg. IV.17.

(D) Taxpayer Authority to Change a Method of Assignment on a Prospective Basis. In filing its original return for a tax year, a taxpayer may change its method of assigning its receipts under Reg. IV.17, including changing its method of approximation, from that used on previous returns. However, the taxpayer may only make this change for purposes of improving the accuracy of assigning its receipts consistent with the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17, including, for example, to address the circumstance where there is a change in the information that is available to the taxpayer as relevant for purposes of complying with these rules. Further, a taxpayer that seeks to change its method of assigning its receipts must disclose, in the original return filed for the year of the change, the fact that it is has made the change, and must retain and provide to the [tax administrator] upon request documents that explain the nature and extent of the change, and the reason for the change. If a taxpayer fails to adequately disclose the change or retain and provide the required records upon request, the [tax administrator] may disregard the taxpayer’s change and substitute an assignment method that the [tax administrator] determines is appropriate.

(E) [Tax administrator] Authority to Change a Method of Assignment on a Prospective Basis. The [tax administrator] may direct a taxpayer to change its method of assigning its receipts in tax returns that have not yet been filed, including changing the taxpayer’s method of approximation, if upon reviewing the taxpayer’s filing methodology applied for a prior tax year, the [tax administrator] determines that the change is appropriate to reflect a more accurate assignment of the taxpayer’s receipts within the meaning of Reg. IV.17, and determines that the change can be reasonably adopted by the taxpayer. The [tax administrator] will provide the taxpayer with a written explanation as to the reason for making the change. In a case in which a taxpayer fails to comply with the [tax administrator]’s direction on subsequently filed returns, the [tax administrator] may deem the taxpayer’s method of assigning its receipts on those returns to be unreasonable, and may substitute an assignment method that the [tax administrator] determines is appropriate.

(8) Further Guidance.

The [tax administrator] may issue further public written statements with respect to the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17. These statements may, among other things, include guidance with respect to: (1) what constitutes a reasonable method of approximation within the meaning of the rules, and (2) the circumstances in which a filing change with respect to a taxpayer’s method of reasonable approximation will be deemed appropriate.

*** Reg. IV.17.(b). Sale, Rental, Lease or License of Real Property..
In the case of a sale, rental, lease or license of real property, the receipts from the sale are in [state] if and to the extent that the property is in [state].

**Reg. IV.17.(c). Rental, Lease or License of Tangible Personal Property.**

In the case of a rental, lease or license of tangible personal property, the receipts from the sale are in [state] if and to the extent that the property is in [state]. If property is mobile property that is located both within and without [state] during the period of the lease or other contract, the receipts assigned to [state] are the receipts from the contract period multiplied by the fraction computed under Reg. IV.10.(d). (as adjusted when necessary to reflect differences between usage during the contract period and usage during the taxable year).

**Reg. IV.17.(d). Sale of a Service.**


   The receipts from a sale of a service are in [state] if and to the extent that the service is delivered to a location in [state]. In general, the term “delivered to a location” refers to the location of the taxpayer’s market for the service, which may not be the location of the taxpayer’s employees or property. The rules to determine the location of the delivery of a service in the context of several specific types of service transactions are set forth at Reg.s IV.17.(d).(2)-(4).

2. In-Person Services.

   (A) In General.

   Except as otherwise provided in this Reg. IV.17.(d).(2), in-person services are services that are physically provided in person by the taxpayer, where the customer or the customer’s real or tangible property upon which the services are performed is in the same location as the service provider at the time the services are performed. This rule includes situations where the services are provided on behalf of the taxpayer by a third-party contractor. Examples of in-person services include, without limitation, warranty and repair services; cleaning services; plumbing services; carpentry; construction contractor services; pest control; landscape services; medical and dental services, including medical testing, x-rays and mental health care and treatment; child care; hair cutting and salon services; live entertainment and athletic performances; and in-person training or lessons. In-person services include services within the description above that are performed at (1) a location that is owned or operated by the service provider or (2) a location of the customer, including the location of the customer’s real or tangible personal property. Various professional services, including legal, accounting, financial and consulting services, and other similar services as described in Reg. IV.17.(d).(4), although they may involve some amount of in-person contact, are not treated as in-person services within the meaning of this Reg. IV.17.(d).(2).

   (B) Assignment of Receipts.
1. Rule of Determination. Except as otherwise provided in this Reg. IV.17.(d).(2)(B), if the service provided by the taxpayer is an in-person service, the service is delivered to the location where the service is received. Therefore, the receipts from a sale are in [state] if and to the extent the customer receives the in-person service in [state]. In assigning its receipts from sales of in-person services, a taxpayer must first attempt to determine the location where a service is received, as follows:

   a. If the service is performed with respect to the body of an individual customer in [state] (e.g. hair cutting or x-ray services) or in the physical presence of the customer in [state] (e.g. live entertainment or athletic performances), the service is received in [state].

   b. If the service is performed with respect to the customer’s real estate in [state] or if the service is performed with respect to the customer’s tangible personal property at the customer’s residence or in the customer’s possession in [state], the service is received in [state].

   c. If the service is performed with respect to the customer’s tangible personal property and the tangible personal property is to be shipped or delivered to the customer, whether the service is performed within or outside [state], the service is received in [state] if the property is shipped or delivered to the customer in [state].

(C) Rule of Reasonable Approximation. In an instance in which the state or states where a service is actually received cannot be determined, but the taxpayer has sufficient information regarding the place of receipt from which it can reasonably approximate the state or states where the service is received, the taxpayer shall reasonably approximate such state or states. If the state to which the receipts are to be assigned can be determined or reasonably approximated, but the taxpayer is not taxable in that state, the receipts that would otherwise be assigned to the state are excluded from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor pursuant to Article IV.17.(c). and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

(D) Examples.

In these examples assume, unless otherwise stated, that the taxpayer is taxable in each state to which its receipts would be assigned, so that there is no requirement that the receipts from the sale or sales be eliminated from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(c). and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D). Note that for purposes of the examples it is irrelevant whether the services are performed by an employee of the taxpayer or by an independent contractor acting on the taxpayer’s behalf.

Example (i). Salon Corp has retail locations in [state] and in other states where it provides hair cutting services to individual and business customers, the latter of whom are paid for through the means of a company account.
The receipts from sales of services provided at Salon Corp’s in-state locations are in [state]. The receipts from sales of services provided at Salon Corp’s locations outside [state], even when provided to residents of [state], are not receipts from in-state sales.

Example (ii). Landscape Corp provides landscaping and gardening services in [state] and in neighboring states. Landscape Corp provides landscaping services at the in-state vacation home of an individual who is a resident of another state and who is located outside [state] at the time the services are performed. The receipts from sale of services provided at the in-state location are in [state].

Example (iii). Same facts as in Example (ii), except that Landscape Corp provides the landscaping services to Retail Corp, a corporation with retail locations in several states, and the services are with respect to those locations of Retail Corp that are in [state] and in other states. The receipts from the sale of services provided to Retail Corp are in [state] to the extent the services are provided in [state].

Example (iv). Camera Corp provides camera repair services at an in-state retail location to walk-in individual and business customers. In some cases, Camera Corp actually repairs a camera that is brought to its in-state location at a facility that is in another state. In these cases, the repaired camera is then returned to the customer at Camera Corp’s in-state location. The receipts from sale of these services are in [state].

Example (v). Same facts as in Example (iv), except that a customer located in [state] mails the camera directly to the out-of-state facility owned by Camera Corp to be fixed, and receives the repaired camera back in [state] by mail. The receipts from sale of the service are in [state].

Example (vi). Teaching Corp provides seminars in [state] to individual and business customers. The seminars and the materials used in connection with the seminars are prepared outside the state, the teachers who teach the seminars include teachers that are resident outside the state, and the students who attend the seminars include students that are resident outside the state. Because the seminars are taught in [state] the receipts from sales of the services are in [state].

(3) Services Delivered to the Customer or on Behalf of the Customer, or Delivered Electronically Through the Customer.

(A) In General.

If the service provided by the taxpayer is not an in-person service within the meaning of Reg. IV.17.(d).(2) or a professional service within the meaning of Reg. IV.17(d)(4), and the service is delivered to or on behalf of the customer, or delivered electronically through the customer, the receipts from a sale are in [state] if and to the extent that the service is delivered in [state]. For purposes of this Reg.
IV.17.(d).(3), a service that is delivered “to” a customer is a service in which the customer and not a third party is the recipient of the service. A service that is delivered “on behalf of” a customer is one in which a customer contracts for a service but one or more third parties, rather than the customer, is the recipient of the service, such as fulfillment services, or the direct or indirect delivery of advertising to the customer’s intended audience (see Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)1 and Example (iv) under (d).(3)(B)1.c.). A service can be delivered to or on behalf of a customer by physical means or through electronic transmission. A service that is delivered electronically “through” a customer is a service that is delivered electronically to a customer for purposes of resale and subsequent electronic delivery in substantially identical form to an end user or other third-party recipient.

(B) Assignment of Receipts.

The assignment of receipts to a state or states in the instance of a sale of a service that is delivered to the customer or on behalf of the customer, or delivered electronically through the customer, depends upon the method of delivery of the service and the nature of the customer. Separate rules of assignment apply to services delivered by physical means and services delivered by electronic transmission. (For purposes of this Reg. IV.17.(d).(3), a service delivered by an electronic transmission is not a delivery by a physical means). If a rule of assignment set forth in this Reg. IV.17.(d).(3), depends on whether the customer is an individual or a business customer, and the taxpayer acting in good faith cannot reasonably determine whether the customer is an individual or business customer, the taxpayer shall treat the customer as a business customer. If the state to which the receipts from a sale are to be assigned can be determined or reasonably approximated, but the taxpayer is not taxable in that state, the receipts that would otherwise be assigned to that state are excluded from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

1. Delivery to or on Behalf of a Customer by Physical Means Whether to an Individual or Business Customer. Services delivered to a customer or on behalf of a customer through a physical means include, for example, product delivery services where property is delivered to the customer or to a third party on behalf of the customer; the delivery of brochures, fliers or other direct mail services; the delivery of advertising or advertising-related services to the customer’s intended audience in the form of a physical medium; and the sale of custom software (e.g., where software is developed for a specific customer in a case where the transaction is properly treated as a service transaction for purposes of corporate taxation) where the taxpayer installs the custom software at the customer’s site.

The rules in this Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)1. apply whether the taxpayer’s customer is an individual customer or a business customer.

a. Rule of Determination. In assigning the receipts from a sale of a service delivered to a customer or on behalf of a customer through a physical means, a taxpayer must first attempt to determine the state or states where the service is delivered. If the taxpayer is able to determine the state or states where the service is delivered, it shall assign the receipts to that state or states.
b. Rule of Reasonable Approximation. If the taxpayer cannot determine the state or states where the service is actually delivered, but has sufficient information regarding the place of delivery from which it can reasonably approximate the state or states where the service is delivered, it shall reasonably approximate the state or states.

c. Examples:

In these examples assume, unless otherwise stated, that the taxpayer is taxable in each state to which its receipts would be assigned, so that there is no requirement in these examples that the receipts must be eliminated from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (i). Direct Mail Corp, a corporation based outside [state], provides direct mail services to its customer, Business Corp. Business Corp transacts with Direct Mail Corp to deliver printed fliers to a list of customers that is provided to it by Business Corp. Some of Business Corp’s customers are in [state] and some of those customers are in other states. Direct Mail Corp will use the postal service to deliver the printed fliers to Business Corp’s customers. The receipts from the sale of Direct Mail Corp’s services to Business Corp are assigned to [state] to the extent that the services are delivered on behalf of Business Corp to [state] customers (i.e., to the extent that the fliers are delivered on behalf of Business Corp to Business Corp’s intended audience in [state]).

Example (ii). Ad Corp is a corporation based outside [state] that provides advertising and advertising-related services in [state] and in neighboring states. Ad Corp enters into a contract at a location outside [state] with an individual customer who is not a [state] resident to design advertisements for billboards to be displayed in [state], and to design fliers to be mailed to [state] residents. All of the design work is performed outside [state]. The receipts from the sale of the design services are in [state] because the service is physically delivered on behalf of the customer to the customer’s intended audience in [state].

Example (iii). Same facts as example (ii), except that the contract is with a business customer that is based outside [state]. The receipts from the sale of the design services are in [state] because the services are physically delivered on behalf of the customer to the customer’s intended audience in [state].

Example (iv). Fulfillment Corp, a corporation based outside [state], provides product delivery fulfillment services in [state] and in neighboring states to Sales Corp, a corporation located outside [state] that sells tangible personal property through a mail order catalog and over the Internet to customers. In some cases when a customer purchases tangible personal property from Sales Corp to be delivered in [state], Fulfillment Corp will, pursuant to its contract with Sales Corp, deliver that property from its fulfillment warehouse located outside [state]. The receipts from the sale of the fulfillment services of
Fulfillment Corp to Sales Corp are assigned to [state] to the extent that
Fulfillment Corp’s deliveries on behalf of Sales Corp are to recipients in
[state].

Example (v). Software Corp, a software development corporation, enters into a
contract with a business customer, Buyer Corp, which is physically located in
[state], to develop custom software to be used in Buyer Corp’s business.
Software Corp develops the custom software outside [state], and then
physically installs the software on Buyer Corp’s computer hardware located in
[state]. The development and sale of the custom software is properly
characterized as a service transaction, and the receipts from the sale are
assigned to [state] because the software is physically delivered to the customer
in [state].

Example (vi). Same facts as Example (v), except that Buyer Corp has offices
in [state] and several other states, but is commercially domiciled outside [state]
and orders the software from a location outside [state]. The receipts from the
development and sale of the custom software service are assigned to [state]
because the software is physically delivered to the customer in [state].

2. Delivery to a Customer by Electronic Transmission. Services delivered by
electronic transmission include, without limitation, services that are transmitted
through the means of wire, lines, cable, fiber optics, electronic signals, satellite
transmission, audio or radio waves, or other similar means, whether or not the
service provider owns, leases or otherwise controls the transmission equipment.
In the case of the delivery of a service by electronic transmission to a customer,
the following rules apply.

a. Services Delivered By Electronic Transmission to an Individual Customer.

i. Rule of Determination. In the case of the delivery of a service to an
individual customer by electronic transmission, the service is delivered in
[state] if and to the extent that the taxpayer’s customer receives the service
in [state]. If the taxpayer can determine the state or states where the service
is received, it shall assign the receipts from that sale to that state or states.

ii. Rules of Reasonable Approximation. If the taxpayer cannot determine the
state or states where the customer actually receives the service, but has
sufficient information regarding the place of receipt from which it can
reasonably approximate the state or states where the service is received, it
shall reasonably approximate the state or states. If a taxpayer does not have
sufficient information from which it can determine or reasonably
approximate the state or states in which the service is received, it shall
reasonably approximate the state or states using the customer’s billing
address.

b. Services Delivered By Electronic Transmission to a Business Customer.
i. Rule of Determination. In the case of the delivery of a service to a business customer by electronic transmission, the service is delivered in [state] if and to the extent that the taxpayer’s customer receives the service in [state]. If the taxpayer can determine the state or states where the service is received, it shall assign the receipts from that sale to the state or states. For purposes of this Reg. IV.17.(d)(3)(B)2.b., it is intended that the state or states where the service is received reflect the location at which the service is directly used by the employees or designees of the customer.

ii. Rule of Reasonable Approximation. If the taxpayer cannot determine the state or states where the customer actually receives the service, but has sufficient information regarding the place of receipt from which it can reasonably approximate the state or states where the service is received, it shall reasonably approximate the state or states.

iii. Secondary Rule of Reasonable Approximation. In the case of the delivery of a service to a business customer by electronic transmission where a taxpayer does not have sufficient information from which it can determine or reasonably approximate the state or states in which the service is received, the taxpayer shall reasonably approximate the state or states as set forth in this regulation. In these cases, unless the taxpayer can apply the safe harbor set forth in Reg.IV.17.(d)(3)(B)2.b.iv., the taxpayer shall reasonably approximate the state or states as follows: first, by assigning the receipts from the sale to the state where the contract of sale is principally managed by the customer; second, if the state where the customer principally manages the contract is not reasonably determinable, by assigning the receipts from the sale to the customer’s place of order; and third, if the customer’s place of order is not reasonably determinable, by assigning the receipts from the sale using the customer’s billing address; provided, however, if the taxpayer derives more than 5% of its receipts from sales of services from any single customer, the taxpayer is required to identify the state in which the contract of sale is principally managed by that customer.

iv. Safe Harbor. In the case of the delivery of a service to a business customer by electronic transmission a taxpayer may not be able to determine, or reasonably approximate under Reg.IV.17.(d)(3)(B)2.b.ii., the state or states in which the service is received. In these cases, the taxpayer may, in lieu of the rule stated at Reg.IV.17.(d)(3)(B)2.b.iii., apply the safe harbor stated in this subsection. Under this safe harbor, a taxpayer may assign its receipts from sales to a particular customer based upon the customer’s billing address in a taxable year in which the taxpayer (1) engages in substantially similar service transactions with more than 250 customers, whether business or individual, and (2) does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services from that customer. This safe harbor applies only for purposes of [omitted]
v. Related Party Transactions. In the case of a sale of a service by electronic transmission to a business customer that is a related party, the taxpayer may not use the secondary rule of reasonable approximation in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.iii but may use the rule of reasonable approximation in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.ii, and the safe harbor in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.iv, provided that the [tax administrator] may aggregate sales to related parties in determining whether the sales exceed 5% of receipts from sales of all services under that safe harbor provision if necessary or appropriate to prevent distortion.

c. Examples:

In these examples, unless otherwise stated, assume that the taxpayer is not related to either the customer to which the service is delivered. Also, unless otherwise stated, assume that the taxpayer is taxable in each state to which its receipts would be assigned, so that there is no requirement in these examples that the receipts must be eliminated from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(c). and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D). Further, assume if relevant, unless otherwise stated, that the safe harbor set forth at Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.iv does not apply.

**Example (i).** Support Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state], provides software support and diagnostic services to individual and business customers that have previously purchased certain software from third-party vendors. These individual and business customers are located in [state] and other states. Support Corp supplies its services on a case by case basis when directly contacted by its customer. Support Corp generally provides these services through the Internet but sometimes provides these services by phone. In all cases, Support Corp verifies the customer’s account information before providing any service. Using the information that Support Corp verifies before performing a service, Support Corp can determine where its services are received, and therefore must assign its receipts to these locations. The receipts from sales made to Support Corp’s individual and business customers are in [state] to the extent that Support Corp’s services are received in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.a. and b.

**Example (ii).** Online Corp, a corporation based outside [state], provides web-based services through the means of the Internet to individual customers who are resident in [state] and in other states. These customers access Online Corp’s web services primarily in their states of residence, and sometimes, while traveling, in other states. For a substantial portion of its receipts from the sale of services, Online Corp can either determine the state or states where the services are received,
or, where it cannot determine the state or states, it has sufficient information regarding the place of receipt to reasonably approximate the state or states. However, Online Corp cannot determine or reasonably approximate the state or states of receipt for all of the sales of its services. Assuming that Online Corp reasonably believes, based on all available information, that the geographic distribution of the receipts from sales for which it cannot determine or reasonably approximate the location of the receipt of its services generally tracks those for which it does have this information, Online Corp must assign to [state] the receipts from sales for which it does not know the customers’ location in the same proportion as those receipts for which it has this information. See Reg. IV.17.(a).(5)(B).

Example (iii). Same facts as in Example (ii), except that Online Corp reasonably believes that the geographic distribution of the receipts from sales for which it cannot determine or reasonably approximate the location of the receipt of its web-based services do not generally track the sales for which it does have this information. Online Corp must assign the receipts from sales of its services for which it lacks information as provided to its individual customers using the customers’ billing addresses. See Reg. IV.17(d).(3)(B)2.a.

Example (iv). Same facts as in Example (iii), except that Online Corp is not taxable in one state to which some of its receipts from sales would be otherwise assigned. The receipts that would be otherwise assigned to that state are to be excluded from the denominator of Online Corp’s receipts factor. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B).

Example (v). Net Corp, a corporation based outside [state], provides web-based services to a business customer, Business Corp, a company with offices in [state] and two neighboring states. Particular employees of Business Corp access the services from computers in each Business Corp office. Assume that Net Corp determines that Business Corp employees in [state] were responsible for 75% of Business Corp’s use of Net Corp’s services, and Business Corp employees in other states were responsible for 25% of Business Corp’s use of Net Corp’s services. In this case, 75% of the receipts from the sale are received in [state]. See Reg. IV.17(d).(3)(B)2.a.i. Assume alternatively that Net Corp lacks sufficient information regarding the location or locations where Business Corp’s employees used the services to determine or reasonably approximate the location or locations. Under these circumstances, if Net Corp derives 5% or less of its receipts from sales to Business Corp, Net Corp must assign the receipts under Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.iii. to the state where Business Corp principally managed the contract, or if that state is not reasonably determinable, to the state where Business Corp placed the order for the services, or if that state is not reasonably determinable, to the state of Business Corp’s billing address. If Net Corp derives more than 5% of its receipts from sales of services to
Business Corp, Net Corp is required to identify the state in which its contract of sale is principally managed by Business Corp and must assign the receipts to that state.

Example (vi). Net Corp, a corporation based outside [state], provides web-based services through the means of the Internet to more than 250 individual and business customers in [state] and in other states. Assume that for each customer Net Corp cannot determine the state or states where its web services are actually received, and lacks sufficient information regarding the place of receipt to reasonably approximate the state or states. Also assume that Net Corp does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of services to a single customer. Net Corp may apply the safe harbor stated in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.iv., and may assign its receipts using each customer’s billing address. If Net Corp is not taxable in one or more states to which some of its receipts would be otherwise assigned, it must exclude those receipts from the denominator of its receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(c). and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

3. Services Delivered Electronically Through or on Behalf of an Individual or Business Customer. A service delivered electronically “on behalf of” the customer is one in which a customer contracts for a service to be delivered electronically but one or more third parties, rather than the customer, is the recipient of the service, such as the direct or indirect delivery of advertising on behalf of a customer to the customer’s intended audience. A service delivered electronically “through” a customer to third-party recipients is a service that is delivered electronically to a customer for purposes of resale and subsequent electronic delivery in substantially identical form to end users or other third-party recipients.

a. Rule of Determination. In the case of the delivery of a service by electronic transmission, where the service is delivered electronically to end users or other third-party recipients through or on behalf of the customer, the service is delivered in [state] if and to the extent that the end users or other third-party recipients are in [state]. For example, in the case of the direct or indirect delivery of advertising on behalf of a customer to the customer’s intended audience by electronic means, the service is delivered in [state] to the extent that the audience for the advertising is in [state]. In the case of the delivery of a service to a customer that acts as an intermediary in reselling the service in substantially identical form to third-party recipients, the service is delivered in [state] to the extent that the end users or other third-party recipients receive the services in [state]. The rules in this subsection Reg. IV.17(d).(3)(B)3.a. apply whether the taxpayer’s customer is an individual customer or a business customer and whether the end users or other third-party recipients to which the services are delivered through or on behalf of the customer are individuals or businesses.

b. Rule of Reasonable Approximation. If the taxpayer cannot determine the state or states where the services are actually delivered to the end users or
other third-party recipients either through or on behalf of the customer, but has sufficient information regarding the place of delivery from which it can reasonably approximate the state or states where the services are delivered, it shall reasonably approximate the state or states.


i. If a taxpayer’s service is the direct or indirect electronic delivery of advertising on behalf of its customer to the customer’s intended audience, and if the taxpayer lacks sufficient information regarding the location of the audience from which it can determine or reasonably approximate that location, the taxpayer shall reasonably approximate the audience in a state for the advertising using the following secondary rules of reasonable approximation. If a taxpayer is delivering advertising directly or indirectly to a known list of subscribers, the taxpayer shall reasonably approximate the audience for advertising in a state using a percentage that reflects the ratio of the state’s subscribers in the specific geographic area in which the advertising is delivered relative to the total subscribers in that area. For a taxpayer with less information about its audience, the taxpayer shall reasonably approximate the audience in a state using the percentage that reflects the ratio of the state’s population in the specific geographic area in which the advertising is delivered relative to the total population in that area.

ii. If a taxpayer’s service is the delivery of a service to a customer that then acts as the taxpayer’s intermediary in reselling that service to end users or other third party recipients, if the taxpayer lacks sufficient information regarding the location of the end users or other third party recipients from which it can determine or reasonably approximate that location, the taxpayer shall reasonably approximate the extent to which the service is received in a state by using the percentage that reflects the ratio of the state’s population in the specific geographic area in which the taxpayer’s intermediary resells the services, relative to the total population in that area.

iii. When using the secondary reasonable approximation methods provided above, the relevant specific geographic area [of delivery] include only the areas where the service was substantially and materially delivered or resold. Unless the taxpayer demonstrates the contrary, it will be presumed that the area where the service was substantially and materially delivered or resold does not include areas outside the United States.

d. Examples:

In these examples, unless otherwise stated, assume that the taxpayer is taxable in each state to which its receipts would be assigned, so that there is no requirement in these examples that the receipts must be eliminated
from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (i). Cable TV Corp, a corporation that is based outside of [state], has two revenue streams. First, Cable TV Corp sells advertising time to business customers pursuant to which the business customers’ advertisements will run as commercials during Cable TV Corp’s televised programming. Some of these business customers, though not all of them, have a physical presence in [state]. Second, Cable TV Corp sells monthly subscriptions to individual customers in [state] and in other states. The receipts from Cable TV Corp’s sale of advertising time to its business customers are assigned to [state] to the extent that the audience for Cable TV Corp’s televised programming during which the advertisements run is in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3.a. If Cable TV Corp is unable to determine the actual location of its audience for the programming, and lacks sufficient information regarding audience location to reasonably approximate the location, Cable TV Corp must approximate its [state] audience using the percentage that reflects the ratio of its [state] subscribers in the geographic area in which Cable TV Corp’s televised programming featuring the advertisements is delivered relative to its total number of subscribers in that area. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3.c.i. To the extent that Cable TV Corp’s sales of monthly subscriptions represent the sale of a service, the receipts from these sales are properly assigned to [state] in any case in which the programming is received by a customer in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.a. In any case in which Cable TV Corp cannot determine the actual location where the programming is received, and lacks sufficient information regarding the location of receipt to reasonably approximate the location, the receipts from these sales of Cable TV Corp’s monthly subscriptions are assigned to [state] where its customer’s billing address is in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.a.ii. Note that whether and to the extent that the monthly subscription fee represents a fee for a service or for a license of intangible property does not affect the analysis or result as to the state or states to which the receipts are properly assigned. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(5).

Example (ii). Network Corp, a corporation that is based outside of [state], sells advertising time to business customers pursuant to which the customers’ advertisements will run as commercials during Network Corp’s televised programming as distributed by unrelated cable television and satellite television transmission companies. The receipts from Network Corp’s sale of advertising time to its business customers are assigned to [state] to the extent that the audience for Network Corp’s televised programming during which the advertisements will run is in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3.a. If Network Corp cannot determine the actual location of the audience for its programming during which the advertisements will run, and lacks sufficient information regarding audience location to reasonably approximate the location, Network Corp
must approximate the receipts from sales of advertising that constitute [state] sales by multiplying the amount of advertising receipts by a percentage that reflects the ratio of the [state] population in the specific geographic area in which the televised programming containing the advertising is run relative to the total population in that area. See Reg. IV.17.(d)(3)(B)3.c.ii. and iii. In any case in which Network Corp’s receipts would be assigned to a state in which Network Corp is not taxable, the receipts must be excluded from the denominator of Network Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (iii). Web Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state], provides Internet content to viewers in [state] and other states. Web Corp sells advertising space to business customers pursuant to which the customers’ advertisements will appear in connection with Web Corp’s Internet content. Web Corp receives a fee for running the advertisements that is determined by reference to the number of times the advertisement is viewed or clicked upon by the viewers of its website. The receipts from Web Corp’s sale of advertising space to its business customers are assigned to [state] to the extent that the viewers of the Internet content are in [state], as measured by viewings or clicks. See Reg. IV.17.(d)(3)(B)3.a. If Web Corp is unable to determine the actual location of its viewers, and lacks sufficient information regarding the location of its viewers to reasonably approximate the location, Web Corp must approximate the amount of its [state] receipts by multiplying the amount of receipts from sales of advertising by a percentage that reflects the [state] population in the specific geographic area in which the content containing the advertising is delivered relative to the total population in that area. See Reg. IV.17.(d)(3)(B)3.c. In any case in which Web Corp’s receipts would be assigned to a state in which Web Corp is not taxable, those receipts must be excluded from the denominator of Web Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(c). and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (iv). Retail Corp, a corporation that is based outside of [state], sells tangible property through its retail stores located in [state] and other states, and through a mail order catalog. Answer Co, a corporation that operates call centers in multiple states, contracts with Retail Corp to answer telephone calls from individuals placing orders for products found in Retail Corp’s catalogs. In this case, the phone answering services of Answer Co are being delivered to Retail Corp’s customers and prospective customers. Therefore, Answer Co is delivering a service electronically to Retail Corp’s customers or prospective customers on behalf of Retail Corp, and must assign the proceeds from this service to the state or states from which the phone calls are placed by the customers or prospective customers. If Answer Co cannot determine the actual locations from which phone calls are placed, and lacks sufficient information regarding the locations to reasonably approximate the locations, Answer Co must approximate the amount of its [state] receipts by multiplying the amount of its fee from Retail Corp by a percentage
that reflects the [state] population in the specific geographic area from which the calls are placed relative to the total population in that area. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3.c.i. Answer Co’s receipts must also be excluded from the denominator of its receipts factor in any case in which the receipts would be assigned to a state in which Answer Co is not taxable. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (v). Web Corp, a corporation that is based outside of [state], sells tangible property to customers via its Internet website. Design Co. designed and maintains Web Corp’s website, including making changes to the site based on customer feedback received through the site. Design Co.’s services are delivered to Web Corp, the proceeds from which are assigned pursuant to Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2. The fact that Web Corp’s customers and prospective customers incidentally benefit from Design Co.’s services, and may even interact with Design Co in the course of providing feedback, does not transform the service into one delivered “on behalf of” Web Corp to Web Corp’s customers and prospective customers.

Example (vi). Wholesale Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state], develops an Internet-based information database outside [state] and enters into a contract with Retail Corp whereby Retail Corp will market and sell access to this database to end users. Depending on the facts, the provision of database access may be either the sale of a service or the license of intangible property or may have elements of both. Assume that on the particular facts applicable in this example Wholesale Corp is selling database access in transactions properly characterized as involving the performance of a service. When an end user purchases access to Wholesale Corp’s database from Retail Corp, Retail Corp in turn compensates Wholesale Corp in connection with that transaction. In this case, Wholesale Corp’s services are being delivered through Retail Corp to the end user. Wholesale Corp must assign its receipts from sales to Retail Corp to the state or states in which the end users receive access to Wholesale Corp’s database. If Wholesale Corp cannot determine the state or states where the end users actually receive access to Wholesale Corp’s database, and lacks sufficient information regarding the location from which the end users access the database to reasonably approximate the location, Wholesale Corp must approximate the extent to which its services are received by end users in [state] by using a percentage that reflects the ratio of the [state] population in the specific geographic area in which Retail Corp regularly markets and sells Wholesale Corp’s database relative to the total population in that area. See Reg. IV.17(d).(3)(B)3.b. Note that it does not matter for purposes of the analysis whether Wholesale Corp’s sale of database access constitutes a service or a license of intangible property, or some combination of both. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(5). In any case in which Wholesale Corp’s receipts would be assigned to a state in which Wholesale Corp is not taxable, the
receipts must be excluded from the denominator of Wholesale Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17(a)(6)(D).

(4) Professional Services.

(A) In General.

Except as otherwise provided in this Reg. IV.17.(d).(4), professional services are services that require specialized knowledge and in some cases require a professional certification, license or degree. These services include the performance of technical services that require the application of specialized knowledge. Professional services include, without limitation, management services, bank and financial services, financial custodial services, investment and brokerage services, fiduciary services, tax preparation, payroll and accounting services, lending services, credit card services (including credit card processing services), data processing services, legal services, consulting services, video production services, graphic and other design services, engineering services, and architectural services.

(B) Overlap with Other Categories of Services.

1. Certain services that fall within the definition of “professional services” set forth in this Reg. IV.17.(d).(4) are nevertheless treated as “in-person services” within the meaning of Reg. IV.17.(d).(2), and are assigned under the rules of that subsection. Specifically, professional services that are physically provided in person by the taxpayer such as carpentry, certain medical and dental services or child care services, where the customer or the customer’s real or tangible property upon which the services are provided is in the same location as the service provider at the time the services are performed, are “in-person services” and are assigned as such, notwithstanding that they may also be considered to be “professional services.” However, professional services where the service is of an intellectual or intangible nature, such as legal, accounting, financial and consulting services, are assigned as professional services under the rules of this Reg. IV.17(d)(4), notwithstanding the fact that these services may involve some amount of in-person contact.

2. Professional services may in some cases include the transmission of one or more documents or other communications by mail or by electronic means. In some cases, all or most communications between the service provider and the service recipient may be by mail or by electronic means. However, in these cases, despite this transmission, the assignment rules that apply are those set forth in this Reg. IV.17(d)(4), and not those set forth in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3), pertaining to services delivered to a customer or through or on behalf of a customer.

(C) Assignment of Receipts.

In the case of a professional service, it is generally possible to characterize the location of delivery in multiple ways by emphasizing different elements of the
service provided, no one of which will consistently represent the market for the services. Therefore, the location of delivery in the case of professional services is not susceptible to a general rule of determination, and must be reasonably approximated. The assignment of receipts from a sale of a professional service depends in many cases upon whether the customer is an individual or business customer. In any instance in which the taxpayer, acting in good faith, cannot reasonably determine whether the customer is an individual or business customer, the taxpayer shall treat the customer as a business customer. For purposes of assigning the receipts from a sale of a professional service, a taxpayer’s customer is the person that contracts for the service, irrespective of whether another person pays for or also benefits from the taxpayer’s services. In any instance in which the taxpayer is not taxable in the state to which receipts from a sale is assigned, the receipts are excluded from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

1. General Rule. Receipts from sales of professional services other than those services described in Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)2. (architectural and engineering services), Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)3. (services provided by a financial institution) and Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)4. (transactions with related parties) are assigned in accordance with this Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.

a. Professional Services Delivered to Individual Customers. Except as otherwise provided in Reg. IV.17.(d)(4) (see in particular Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)4, in any instance in which the service provided is a professional service and the taxpayer’s customer is an individual customer, the state or states in which the service is delivered must be reasonably approximated as set forth in this Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.a. In particular, the taxpayer shall assign the receipts from a sale to the customer’s state of primary residence, or, if the taxpayer cannot reasonably identify the customer’s state of primary residence, to the state of the customer’s billing address; provided, however, in any instance in which the taxpayer derives more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services from an individual customer, the taxpayer shall identify the customer’s state of primary residence and assign the receipts from the service or services provided to that customer to that state.

b. Professional Services Delivered to Business Customers. Except as otherwise provided in Reg. IV.17.(d).(4), in any instance in which the service provided is a professional service and the taxpayer’s customer is a business customer, the state or states in which the service is delivered must be reasonably approximated as set forth in this section. In particular, unless the taxpayer may use the safe harbor set forth at Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.e., the taxpayer shall assign the receipts from the sale as follows: first, by assigning the receipts to the state where the contract of sale is principally managed by the customer; second, if the place of customer management is not reasonably determinable, to the customer’s place of order; and third, if the customer place of order is not reasonably determinable, to the customer’s billing address; provided, however, in any instance in which the
taxpayer derives more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services from a customer, the taxpayer is required to identify the state in which the contract of sale is principally managed by the customer.

c. Safe Harbor; Large Volume of Transactions. Notwithstanding the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17.(d)(4)(C)1.a. and b., a taxpayer may assign its receipts from sales to a particular customer based on the customer’s billing address in any taxable year in which the taxpayer (1) engages in substantially similar service transactions with more than 250 customers, whether individual or business, and (2) does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services from that customer. This safe harbor applies only for purposes of Reg. IV.17.(d)(4)(C)1. and not otherwise.

2. Architectural and Engineering Services with respect to Real or Tangible Personal Property. Architectural and engineering services with respect to real or tangible personal property are professional services within the meaning of this Reg. IV.17.(d)(4). However, unlike in the case of the general rule that applies to professional services, (1) the receipts from a sale of an architectural service are assigned to a state or states if and to the extent that the services are with respect to real estate improvements located, or expected to be located, in the state or states; and (2) the receipts from a sale of an engineering service are assigned to a state or states if and to the extent that the services are with respect to tangible or real property located in the state or states, including real estate improvements located in, or expected to be located in, the state or states. These rules apply whether or not the customer is an individual or business customer. In any instance in which architectural or engineering services are not described in Reg. IV.17(d)(4)(C)2, the receipts from a sale of these services must be assigned under the general rule for professional services. See Reg. IV.17.(d)(4)(C)1.

3. Services provided by a Financial Institution. The apportionment rules that apply to financial institutions are set forth at [financial institutions special apportionment statute or regulation]. [Drafter’s Note: not all states have special industry rules or statutes for sourcing financial institution income.] That [financial institutions special apportionment statute or regulation] includes specific rules to determine a financial institution’s receipts factor. However, [the statute or regulation] also provides that receipts from sales, other than sales of tangible personal property, including service transactions, that are not otherwise apportioned under [the statute or regulation], are to be assigned pursuant to Article IV.17. and these regulations. In any instance in which a financial institution performs services that are to be assigned pursuant to Article IV.17. and these regulations including, for example, financial custodial services, those services are considered professional services within the meaning of this Reg. IV.17(d)(4), and are assigned according to the general rule for professional service transactions as set forth at Reg. IV.17.(d)(4)(C)1.

4. Related Party Transactions. In any instance in which the professional service is sold to a related party, rather than applying the rule for professional services
delivered to business customers in Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.b, the state or states to which the service is assigned is the place of receipt by the related party as reasonably approximated using the following hierarchy: (1) if the service primarily relates to specific operations or activities of a related party conducted in one or more locations, then to the state or states in which those operations or activities are conducted in proportion to the related party’s payroll at the locations to which the service relates in the state or states; or (2) if the service does not relate primarily to operations or activities of a related party conducted in particular locations, but instead relates to the operations of the related party generally, then to the state or states in which the related party has employees, in proportion to the related party’s payroll in those states. The taxpayer may use the safe harbor provided by Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.c provided that the [tax administrator] may aggregate the receipts from sales to related parties in applying the 5% rule if necessary or appropriate to avoid distortion.

5. Examples:

Unless otherwise stated, assume in each of these examples, where relevant, that the taxpayer is taxable in each state to which its receipts would be assigned, so that there is no requirement in the examples that the receipts must be excluded from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor, see Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D). Assume also that the customer is not a related party and that the safe harbor set forth at Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.c does not apply.

Example (i). Broker Corp provides securities brokerage services to individual customers who are resident in [state] and in other states. Assume that Broker Corp knows the state of primary residence for many of its customers, and where it does not know this state of primary residence, it knows the customer’s billing address. Also assume that Broker Corp does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services from any one individual customer. If Broker Corp knows its customer’s state of primary residence, it shall assign the receipts to that state. If Broker Corp does not know its customer’s state of primary residence, but rather knows the customer’s billing address, it shall assign the receipts to that state. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.a.

Example (ii). Same facts as in Example (i), except that Broker Corp has several individual customers from whom it derives, in each instance, more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services. Receipts from sales to customers from whom Broker Corp derives 5% or less of its receipts from sales of all services must be assigned as described in example 1. For each customer from whom it derives more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services, Broker Corp is required to determine the customer’s state of primary residence and must assign the receipts from the services provided to that customer to that state. In any case in which a 5% customer’s state of primary residence is [state], receipts from a sale made to that customer must be assigned to [state]; in any case in which a 5% customer’s state of primary residence is not [state] receipts from a sale made to that customer are not
assigned to [state]. Where receipts from a sale are assigned to a state other than [state], if the state of assignment (i.e., the state of primary residence of the individual customer) is a state in which Broker Corp is not taxable, receipts from the sales must be excluded from the denominator of Broker Corp’s receipts factor. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1, Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (iii). Architecture Corp provides building design services as to buildings located, or expected to be located, in [state] to individual customers who are resident in [state] and other states, and to business customers that are based in [state] and other states. The receipts from Architecture Corp’s sales are assigned to [state] because the locations of the buildings to which its design services relate are in [state], or are expected to be in [state]. For purposes of assigning these receipts, it is not relevant where, in the case of an individual customer, the customer primarily resides or is billed for the services, and it is not relevant where, in the case of a business customer, the customer principally manages the contract, placed the order for the services, or is billed for the services. Further, these receipts are assigned to [state] even if Architecture Corp’s designs are either physically delivered to its customer in paper form in a state other than [state] or are electronically delivered to its customer in a state other than [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(B)2. and (C)2.

Example (iv). Law Corp provides legal services to individual clients who are resident in [state] and in other states. In some cases, Law Corp may prepare one or more legal documents for its client as a result of these services and/or the legal work may be related to litigation or a legal matter that is ongoing in a state other than where the client is resident. Assume that Law Corp knows the state of primary residence for many of its clients, and where it does not know this state of primary residence, it knows the client’s billing address. Also assume that Law Corp does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all services from any one individual client. If Law Corp knows its client’s state of primary residence, it shall assign the receipts to that state. If Law Corp does not know its client’s state of primary residence, but rather knows the client’s billing address, it shall assign the receipts to that state. For purposes of the analysis it is irrelevant whether the legal documents relating to the service are mailed or otherwise delivered to a location in another state, or the litigation or other legal matter that is the underlying predicate for the services is in another state. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(B)2. and (C)1.

Example (v). Same facts as in Example (iv), except that Law Corp provides legal services to several individual clients who it knows have a primary residence in a state where Law Corp is not taxable. Receipts from these services are excluded from the denominator of Law Corp’s receipts factor even if the billing address of one or more of these clients is in a state in which Law Corp is taxable, including [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C), Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).
Example (vi). Law Corp provides legal services to several multistate business clients. In each case, Law Corp knows the state in which the agreement for legal services that governs the client relationship is principally managed by the client. In one case, the agreement is principally managed in [state]; in the other cases, the agreement is principally managed in a state other than [state]. If the agreement for legal services is principally managed by the client in [state] the receipts from sale of the services are assigned to [state]; in the other cases, the receipts are not assigned to [state]. In the case of receipts that are assigned to [state], the receipts are so assigned even if (1) the legal documents relating to the service are mailed or otherwise delivered to a location in another state, or (2) the litigation or other legal matter that is the underlying predicate for the services is in another state. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(B)2. and (C)1.

Example (vii). Same facts as in example 6, except that Law Corp is not taxable in one of the states other than [state] in which Law Corp’s agreement for legal services that governs the client relationship is principally managed by the business client. Receipts from these latter services are excluded from the denominator of Law Corp’s receipts factor. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C); (C)1.b. and Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (viii). Consulting Corp, a company that provides consulting services to law firms and other customers, is hired by Law Corp in connection with legal representation that Law Corp provides to Client Co. Specifically, Consulting Corp is hired to provide expert testimony at a trial being conducted by Law Corp on behalf of Client Co. Client Co pays for Consulting Corp’s services directly. Assuming that Consulting Corp knows that its agreement with Law Co is principally managed by Law Corp in [state], the receipts from the sale of Consulting Corp’s services are assigned to [state]. It is not relevant for purposes of the analysis that Client Co is the ultimate beneficiary of Consulting Corp’s services, or that Client Co pays for Consulting Corp’s services directly. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.b.

Example (ix).¹ Bank Corp provides financial custodial services to 100 individual customers who are resident in [state] and in other states, including the safekeeping of some of its customers’ financial assets. Assume for purposes of this example that Bank Corp knows the state of primary residence for many of its customers, and where it does not know this state of primary residence, it knows the customer’s billing address. Also assume that Bank Corp does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of all of its services from any single customer. Note that because Bank Corp does not have more than 250 customers, it may not apply the safe harbor for professional services stated in Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.c. If Bank Corp knows its customer’s state of primary residence, it must assign the receipts to that state. If Bank Corp does not know its customer’s state of primary residence, but rather knows the customer’s billing address, it must assign the receipts to

that state. Bank Corp’s receipts are assigned to [state] if the customer’s state of primary residence (or billing address, in cases where it does not know the customer’s state of primary residence) is in [state], even if Bank Corp’s financial custodial work, including the safekeeping of the customer’s financial assets, takes place in a state other than [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.a.

Example (x). Same facts as Example (ix), except that Bank Corp has more than 250 customers, individual or business. Bank Corp may apply the safe harbor for professional services stated in Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.c., and may assign its receipts from sales to a state or states using each customer’s billing address.

Example (xi). Same facts as Example (x), except that Bank Corp derives more than 5% of its receipts from sales from a single individual customer. As to the sales made to this customer, Bank Corp is required to determine the individual customer’s state of primary residence and must assign the receipts from the service or services provided to that customer to that state. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.a. and (C)3. Receipts from sales to all other customers are assigned as described in Example (x).

Example (xii). Advisor Corp, a corporation that provides investment advisory services, provides these advisory services to Investment Co. Investment Co is a multistate business client of Advisor Corp that uses Advisor Corp’s services in connection with investment accounts that it manages for individual clients, who are the ultimate beneficiaries of Advisor Corp’s services. Assume that Investment Co’s individual clients are persons that are resident in numerous states, which may or may not include [state]. Assuming that Advisor Corp knows that its agreement with Investment Co is principally managed by Investment Co in [state], receipts from the sale of Advisor Corp’s services are assigned to [state]. It is not relevant for purposes of the analysis that the ultimate beneficiaries of Advisor Corp’s services may be Investment Co’s clients, who are residents of numerous states. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.b.

Example (xiii). Advisor Corp provides investment advisory services to Investment Fund LP, a partnership that invests in securities and other assets. Assuming that Advisor Corp knows that its agreement with Investment Fund LP is principally managed by Investment Fund LP in [state], receipts from the sale of Advisor Corp’s services are assigned to [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.b. Note that it is not relevant for purposes of the analysis that the partners in Investment Fund LP are residents of numerous states.

Example (xiv). Design Corp is a corporation based outside [state] that provides graphic design and similar services in [state] and in neighboring states. Design Corp enters into a contract at a location outside [state] with an individual customer to design fliers for the customer. Assume that Design

---

Corp does not know the individual customer’s state of primary residence and does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of services from the individual customer. All of the design work is performed outside [state]. Receipts from the sale are in [state] if the customer’s billing address is in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(4)(C)1.a.

---

**Reg. IV.17.(e). License or Lease of Intangible Property.**

(1) General Rules.

(A) The receipts from the license of intangible property are in [state] if and to the extent the intangible is used in [state]. In general, the term “use” is construed to refer to the location of the taxpayer’s market for the use of the intangible property that is being licensed and is not to be construed to refer to the location of the property or payroll of the taxpayer. The rules that apply to determine the location of the use of intangible property in the context of several specific types of licensing transactions are set forth at Reg. IV.17.(e).(2)-(5). For purposes of the rules set forth in this Reg. IV.17.(e), a lease of intangible property is to be treated the same as a license of intangible property.

(B) In general, a license of intangible property that conveys all substantial rights in that property is treated as a sale of intangible property for purposes of Reg. IV.17. See Reg. IV.17.(f). Note, however, that for purposes of Reg.s IV.17.(e) and (f), a sale or exchange of intangible property is treated as a license of that property where the receipts from the sale or exchange derive from payments that are contingent on the productivity, use or disposition of the property.

(C) Intangible property licensed as part of the sale or lease of tangible property is treated under Reg. IV.17 as the sale or lease of tangible property.

(D) In any instance in which the taxpayer is not taxable in the state to which the receipts from the license of intangible property are assigned, the receipts are excluded from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

(E) Nothing in this Reg. IV.17.(e). shall be construed to allow or require inclusion of receipts in the receipts factor that are not included in the definition of “receipts” pursuant to Article IV.1.(g). or related regulations, or that are excluded from the numerator and the denominator of the receipts factor pursuant to Article IV.17.(a).(4)(ii)(C). For examples of the types of intangibles that are excluded pursuant to Article IV.17.(a).(4)(ii)(C), see Reg. IV [insert cross-reference]. For examples of the types of intangibles that are excluded pursuant to Article IV.17.(a).(4)(ii)(C), see Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(D). So, to the extent that the transfer of either a security, as defined in [cross-reference], or business “goodwill” or similar intangible value, including, without limitation, “going concern value” or “workforce in place,” may be characterized as a license or
lease of intangible property, receipts from such transaction shall be excluded from the numerator and the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor.

(2) License of a Marketing Intangible.

Where a license is granted for the right to use intangible property in connection with the sale, lease, license, or other marketing of goods, services, or other items (i.e., a marketing intangible) to a consumer, the royalties or other licensing fees paid by the licensee for that marketing intangible are assigned to [state] to the extent that those fees are attributable to the sale or other provision of goods, services, or other items purchased or otherwise acquired by consumers or other ultimate customers in [state]. Examples of a license of a marketing intangible include, without limitation, the license of a service mark, trademark, or trade name; certain copyrights; the license of a film, television or multimedia production or event for commercial distribution; and a franchise agreement. In each of these instances the license of the marketing intangible is intended to promote consumer sales. In the case of the license of a marketing intangible, where a taxpayer has actual evidence of the amount or proportion of its receipts that is attributable to [state], it shall assign that amount or proportion to [state]. In the absence of actual evidence of the amount or proportion of the licensee's receipts that are derived from [state] consumers, the portion of the licensing fee to be assigned to [state] must be reasonably approximated by multiplying the total fee by a percentage that reflects the ratio of the [state] population in the specific geographic area in which the licensee makes material use of the intangible property to regularly market its goods, services or other items relative to the total population in that area. If the license of a marketing intangible is for the right to use the intangible property in connection with sales or other transfers at wholesale rather than directly to retail customers, the portion of the licensing fee to be assigned to [state] must be reasonably approximated by multiplying the total fee by a percentage that reflects the ratio of the [state] population in the specific geographic area in which the licensee's goods, services, or other items are ultimately and materially marketed using the intangible property relative to the total population of that area. Unless the taxpayer demonstrates that the marketing intangible is materially used in the marketing of items outside the United States, the fees from licensing that marketing intangible will be presumed to be derived from within the United States.

(3) License of a Production Intangible.

If a license is granted for the right to use intangible property other than in connection with the sale, lease, license, or other marketing of goods, services, or other items, and the license is to be used in a production capacity (a “production intangible”), the licensing fees paid by the licensee for that right are assigned to [state] to the extent that the use for which the fees are paid takes place in [state]. Examples of a license of a production intangible include, without limitation, the license of a patent, a copyright, or trade secrets to be used in a manufacturing process, where the value of the intangible lies predominately in its use in that process. If the [tax administrator] can reasonably establish that the actual use of intangible property pursuant to a license of a production intangible takes place in part in [state], it is presumed that the entire use is in this state except to the extent that the taxpayer can demonstrate that the actual location of a portion of the use takes place outside [state]. In the case of a license of a production
intangible to a related party, the taxpayer must assign the receipts to where the intangible property is actually used. In the case of a license of a production intangible to a party other than a related party where the location of actual use is unknown, it is presumed that the use of the intangible property takes place in the state of the licensee's commercial domicile (where the licensee is a business) or the licensee’s state of primary residence (where the licensee is an individual).

(4) License of a Mixed Intangible.

If a license of intangible property includes both a license of a marketing intangible and a license of a production intangible (a “mixed intangible”) and the fees to be paid in each instance are separately and reasonably stated in the licensing contract, the [tax administrator] will accept that separate statement for purposes of Reg. IV.17. If a license of intangible property includes both a license of a marketing intangible and a license of a production intangible and the fees to be paid in each instance are not separately and reasonably stated in the contract, it is presumed that the licensing fees are paid entirely for the license of the marketing intangible except to the extent that the taxpayer or the [tax administrator] can reasonably establish otherwise.

(5) License of Intangible Property where Substance of Transaction Resembles a Sale of Goods or Services.

(A) In general.

In some cases, the license of intangible property will resemble the sale of an electronically-delivered good or service rather than the license of a marketing intangible or a production intangible. In these cases, the receipts from the licensing transaction are assigned by applying the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2 and 3, as if the transaction were a service delivered to an individual or business customer or delivered electronically through an individual or business customer, as applicable. Examples of transactions to be assigned under this Reg. IV.17.(e).(5) include, without limitation, the license of database access, the license of access to information, the license of digital goods (see Reg. IV.17.(g).(2)), and the license of certain software (e.g., where the transaction is not the license of pre-written software that is treated as the sale of tangible personal property, see Reg. IV.17.(g).(1)).

(B) Sublicences.

Pursuant to Reg. IV.17.(e).(5)(A), the rules of Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3. may apply where a taxpayer licenses intangible property to a customer that in turn sublicenses the intangible property to end users as if the transaction were a service delivered electronically through a customer to end users. In particular, the rules set forth at Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3. that apply to services delivered electronically to a customer for purposes of resale and subsequent electronic delivery in substantially identical form to end users or other recipients may also apply with respect to licenses of intangible property for purposes of sublicense to end users. For this purpose, the intangible property sublicensed to an end user shall not fail to be substantially identical to the property that was licensed to the sublicensor merely because the
sublicense transfers a reduced bundle of rights with respect to that property (e.g., because the sublicensee’s rights are limited to its own use of the property and do not include the ability to grant a further sublicense), or because that property is bundled with additional services or items of property.

(C) Examples:

In these examples, unless otherwise stated, assume that the taxpayer is taxable in each state to which its receipts would be assigned, so that there is no requirement in these examples that the receipts must be eliminated from the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D). Also assume that the customer is not a related party.

**Example (i).** Crayon Corp and Dealer Co enter into a license contract under which Dealer Co as licensee is permitted to use trademarks that are owned by Crayon Corp in connection with Dealer Co’s sale of certain products to retail customers. Under the contract, Dealer Co is required to pay Crayon Corp a licensing fee that is a fixed percentage of the total volume of monthly sales made by Dealer Co of products using the Crayon Corp trademarks. Under the contract, Dealer Co is permitted to sell the products at multiple store locations, including store locations that are both within and without [state]. Further, the licensing fees that are paid by Dealer Co are broken out on a per-store basis. The licensing fees paid to Crayon Corp by Dealer Co represent fees from the license of a marketing intangible. The portion of the fees to be assigned to [state] are determined by multiplying the fees by a percentage that reflects the ratio of Dealer Co’s receipts that are derived from its [state] stores relative to Dealer Co’s total receipts. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(2).

**Example (ii).** Program Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state], licenses programming that it owns to licensees, such as cable networks, that in turn will offer the programming to their customers on television or other media outlets in [state] and in all other U.S. states. Each of these licensing contracts constitutes the license of a marketing intangible. For each licensee, assuming that Program Corp lacks evidence of the actual number of viewers of the programming in [state], the component of the licensing fee paid to Program Corp by the licensee that constitutes Program Corp’s [state] receipts is determined by multiplying the amount of the licensing fee by a percentage that reflects the ratio of the [state] audience of the licensee for the programming relative to the licensee’s total U.S. audience for the programming. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(5). If Program Corp is not taxable in any state in which the licensee’s audience is located, the receipts are excluded from the denominator of Program Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D). Note that the analysis and result as to the state or states to which receipts are properly assigned would be the same to the extent that the substance of Program Corp’s licensing transactions may be determined to resemble a sale of goods or services, instead of the license of a marketing intangible. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(5).
Example (iii). Moniker Corp enters into a license contract with Wholesale Co. Pursuant to the contract Wholesale Co is granted the right to use trademarks owned by Moniker Corp to brand sports equipment that is to be manufactured by Wholesale Co or an unrelated entity, and to sell the manufactured equipment to unrelated companies that will ultimately market the equipment to consumers in a specific geographic region, including a foreign country. The license agreement confers a license of a marketing intangible, even though the trademarks in question will be affixed to property to be manufactured. In addition, the license of the marketing intangible is for the right to use the intangible property in connection with sales to be made at wholesale rather than directly to retail customers. The component of the licensing fee that constitutes the [state] receipts of Moniker Corp is determined by multiplying the amount of the fee by a percentage that reflects the ratio of the [state] population in the specific geographic region relative to the total population in that region. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(2). If Moniker Corp is able to reasonably establish that the marketing intangible was materially used throughout a foreign country, then the population of that country will be included in the population ratio calculation. However, if Moniker Corp is unable to reasonably establish that the marketing intangible was materially used in the foreign country in areas outside a particular major city; then none of the foreign country’s population beyond the population of the major city is included in the population ratio calculation. If Moniker Corp is not taxable in any state (including a foreign country) in which Wholesale Co’s ultimate consumers are located, the receipts that would be assigned to that state are excluded from the denominator of Moniker Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (iv). Formula, Inc and Appliance Co enter into a license contract under which Appliance Co is permitted to use a patent owned by Formula, Inc to manufacture appliances. The license contract specifies that Appliance Co is to pay Formula, Inc a royalty that is a fixed percentage of the gross receipts from the products that are later sold. The contract does not specify any other fees. The appliances are both manufactured and sold in [state] and several other states. Assume the licensing fees are paid for the license of a production intangible, even though the royalty is to be paid based upon the sales of a manufactured product (i.e., the license is not one that includes a marketing intangible). Because the [tax administrator] can reasonably establish that the actual use of the intangible property takes place in part in [state], the royalty is assigned based to the location of that use rather than to location of the licensee’s commercial domicile, in accordance with Reg. IV.17.(e).(1). It is presumed that the entire use is in [state] except to the extent that the taxpayer can demonstrate that the actual location of some or all of the use takes place outside [state]. Assuming that Formula, Inc can demonstrate the percentage of manufacturing that takes place in [state] using the patent relative to the manufacturing in other states, that percentage of the total licensing fee paid to Formula, Inc under the contract will constitute Formula, Inc's [state] receipts. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(5).
Example (v). Axel Corp enters into a license agreement with Biker Co in which Biker Co is granted the right to produce motor scooters using patented technology owned by Axel Corp, and also to sell the scooters by marketing the fact that the scooters were manufactured using the special technology. The contract is a license of both a marketing and production intangible, i.e., a mixed intangible. The scooters are manufactured outside [state]. Assume that Axel Corp lacks actual information regarding the proportion of Biker Co.’s receipts that are derived from [state] customers. Also assume that Biker Co is granted the right to sell the scooters in a U.S. geographic region in which the [state] population constitutes 25% of the total population during the period in question. The licensing contract requires an upfront licensing fee to be paid by Biker Co to Axel Corp and does not specify what percentage of the fee derives from Biker Co's right to use Axel Corp's patented technology. Because the fees for the license of the marketing and production intangible are not separately and reasonably stated in the contract, it is presumed that the licensing fees are paid entirely for the license of a marketing intangible, unless either the taxpayer or [tax administrator] reasonably establishes otherwise. Assuming that neither party establishes otherwise, 25% of the licensing fee constitutes [state] receipts. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(2), (e).(4).

Example (vi). Same facts as Example 5, except that the license contract specifies separate fees to be paid for the right to produce the motor scooters and for the right to sell the scooters by marketing the fact that the scooters were manufactured using the special technology. The licensing contract constitutes both the license of a marketing intangible and the license of a production intangible. Assuming that the separately stated fees are reasonable, the [tax administrator] will: (1) assign no part of the licensing fee paid for the production intangible to [state], and (2) assign 25% of the licensing fee paid for the marketing intangible to [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(4).

Example (vii). Better Burger Corp, which is based outside [state], enters into franchise contracts with franchisees that agree to operate Better Burger restaurants as franchisees in various states. Several of the Better Burger Corp franchises are in [state]. In each case, the franchise contract between the individual and Better Burger provides that the franchisee is to pay Better Burger Corp an upfront fee for the receipt of the franchise and monthly franchise fees, which cover, among other things, the right to use the Better Burger name and service marks, food processes and cooking know-how, as well as fees for management services. The upfront fees for the receipt of the [state] franchises constitute fees paid for the licensing of a marketing intangible. These fees constitute [state] receipts because the franchises are for the right to make [state] sales. The monthly franchise fees paid by [state] franchisees constitute fees paid for (1) the license of marketing intangibles (the Better Burger name and service marks), (2) the license of production intangibles (food processes and know-how) and (3) personal services (management fees). The fees paid for the license of the marketing intangibles and the production intangibles constitute [state] receipts because in each case the use of the intangibles is to take place in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(2)-(3).
The fees paid for the personal services are to be assigned pursuant to Reg. IV.17.(d).

Example (viii). Online Corp, a corporation based outside [state], licenses an information database through the means of the Internet to individual customers that are resident in [state] and in other states. These customers access Online Corp’s information database primarily in their states of residence, and sometimes, while traveling, in other states. The license is a license of intangible property that resembles a sale of goods or services and are assigned in accordance with Reg. IV.17.(e).(5). If Online Corp can determine or reasonably approximate the state or states where its database is accessed, it must do so. Assuming that Online Corp cannot determine or reasonably approximate the location where its database is accessed, Online Corp must assign the receipts made to the individual customers using the customers’ billing addresses to the extent known. Assume for purposes of this example that Online Corp knows the billing address for each of its customers. In this case, Online Corp’s receipts from sales made to its individual customers are in [state] in any case in which the customer’s billing address is in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.a.

Example (ix). Net Corp, a corporation based outside [state], licenses an information database through the means of the Internet to a business customer, Business Corp, a company with offices in [state] and two neighboring states. The license is a license of intangible property that resembles a sale of goods or services and are assigned in accordance with Reg. IV.17.(e).(5). Assume that Net Corp cannot determine where its database is accessed but reasonably approximates that 75% of Business Corp’s database access took place in [state], and 25% of Business Corp’s database access took place in other states. In that case, 75% of the receipts from database access is in [state]. Assume alternatively that Net Corp lacks sufficient information regarding the location where its database is accessed to reasonably approximate the location. Under these circumstances, if Net Corp derives 5% or less of its receipts from database access from Business Corp, Net Corp must assign the receipts under Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b. to the state where Business Corp principally managed the contract, or if that state is not reasonably determinable to the state where Business Corp placed the order for the services, or if that state is not reasonably determinable to the state of Business Corp’s billing address. If Net Corp derives more than 5% of its receipts from database access from Business Corp, Net Corp is required to identify the state in which its contract of sale is principally managed by Business Corp and must assign the receipts to that state. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.

Example (x). Net Corp, a corporation based outside [state], licenses an information database through the means of the Internet to more than 250 individual and business customers in [state] and in other states. The license is a license of intangible property that resembles a sale of goods or services and receipts from that license are assigned in accordance with Reg. IV.17.(e).(5). Assume that Net Corp cannot determine or reasonably approximate the location
where its information database is accessed. Also assume that Net Corp does not derive more than 5% of its receipts from sales of database access from any single customer. Net Corp may apply the safe harbor stated in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2.b.iv., and may assign its receipts to a state or states using each customer’s billing address. If Net Corp is not taxable in one or more states to which some of its receipts would be otherwise assigned, it must exclude those receipts from the denominator of its receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (xi). Web Corp, a corporation based outside of [state], licenses an Internet-based information database to business customers who then sublicense the database to individual end users that are resident in [state] and in other states. These end users access Web Corp’s information database primarily in their states of residence, and sometimes, while traveling, in other states. Web Corp’s license of the database to its customers includes the right to sublicense the database to end users, while the sublicenses provide that the rights to access and use the database are limited to the end users’ own use and prohibit the individual end users from further sublicensing the database. Web Corp receives a fee from each customer based upon the number of sublicenses issued to end users. The license is a license of intangible property that resembles a sale of goods or services and are assigned by applying the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3. See Reg. IV.17.(e).(5). If Web Corp can determine or reasonably approximate the state or states where its database is accessed by end users, it must do so. Assuming that Web Corp lacks sufficient information from which it can determine or reasonably approximate the location where its database is accessed by end users, Web Corp must approximate the extent to which its database is accessed in [state] using a percentage that represents the ratio of the [state] population in the specific geographic area in which Web Corp’s customer sublicenses the database access relative to the total population in that area. See Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)3.c.


(1) Assignment of Receipts.

The assignment of receipts to a state or states in the instance of a sale or exchange of intangible property depends upon the nature of the intangible property sold. For purposes of this Reg. IV.17(f), a sale or exchange of intangible property includes a license of that property where the transaction is treated for tax purposes as a sale of all substantial rights in the property and the receipts from transaction are not contingent on the productivity, use or disposition of the property. For the rules that apply where the consideration for the transfer of rights is contingent on the productivity, use or disposition of the property, see Reg. IV.17.(e).(1).

(A) Contract Right or Government License that Authorizes Business Activity in Specific Geographic Area.
In the case of a sale or exchange of intangible property where the property sold or exchanged is a contract right, government license or similar intangible property that authorizes the holder to conduct a business activity in a specific geographic area, the receipts from the sale are assigned to a state if and to the extent that the intangible property is used or is authorized to be used within the state. If the intangible property is used or may be used only in this state the taxpayer shall assign the receipts from the sale to [state]. If the intangible property is used or is authorized to be used in [state] and one or more other states, the taxpayer shall assign the receipts from the sale to [state] to the extent that the intangible property is used in or authorized for use in [state], through the means of a reasonable approximation.

(B) Sale that Resembles a License (Receipts are Contingent on Productivity, Use or Disposition of the Intangible Property).

In the case of a sale or exchange of intangible property where the receipts from the sale or exchange are contingent on the productivity, use or disposition of the property, the receipts from the sale are assigned by applying the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17.(e) (pertaining to the license or lease of intangible property).

(C) Sale that Resembles a Sale of Goods and Services.

In the case of a sale or exchange of intangible property where the substance of the transaction resembles a sale of goods or services and where the receipts from the sale or exchange do not derive from payments contingent on the productivity, use or disposition of the property, the receipts from the sale are assigned by applying the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17.(e).(5) (relating to licenses of intangible property that resemble sales of goods and services). Examples of these transactions include those that are analogous to the license transactions cited as examples in Reg. IV.17.(e).(5).

(D) Excluded Receipts.

Receipts from the sale of intangible property are not included in the receipts factor in any case in which the sale does not give rise to receipts within the meaning of Article IV.1(g). In addition, in any case in which the sale of intangible property does result in receipts within the meaning of Article IV.1(g), those receipts are excluded from the numerator and the denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor if the receipts are not referenced in Article IV.17(a)(4)(i), (ii)(A) or (ii)(B). See Article IV.17(a)(4)(ii)(C). The sale of intangible property that is excluded from the numerator and denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor under this provision includes, without limitation, the sale of a partnership interest, the sale of business “goodwill,” the sale of an agreement not to compete, or similar intangible value. Also, in any instance in which, the state to which the receipts from a sale is to be assigned can be determined or reasonably approximated, but where the taxpayer is not taxable in such state, the receipts that would otherwise be assigned to such state shall be excluded from the numerator and denominator of the taxpayer’s receipts factor. See Reg. IV.17.(a),(6)(D).

(E) Examples.
In these examples, unless otherwise stated, assume that the taxpayer is taxable in each state to which some of its receipts would be assigned, so that there is no requirement in these examples that the receipts to other states must be excluded from the taxpayer’s denominator. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

**Example (i).** Airline Corp, a corporation based outside [state], sells its rights to use several gates at an airport located in [state] to Buyer Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state]. The contract of sale is negotiated and signed outside of [state]. The receipts from the sale are in [state] because the intangible property sold is a contract right that authorizes the holder to conduct a business activity solely in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(f).(1).

**Example (ii).** Wireless Corp, a corporation based outside [state], sells a license issued by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to operate wireless telecommunications services in a designated area in [state] to Buyer Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state]. The contract of sale is negotiated and signed outside of [state]. The receipts from the sale are in [state] because the intangible property sold is a government license that authorizes the holder to conduct business activity solely in [state]. See Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(A).

**Example (iii).** Same facts as in Example 2 except that Wireless Corp sells to Buyer Corp an FCC license to operate wireless telecommunications services in a designated area in [state] and an adjacent state. Wireless Corp must attempt to reasonably approximate the extent to which the intangible property is used or may be used in [state]. For purposes of making this reasonable approximation, Wireless Corp may rely upon credible data that identifies the percentage of persons that use wireless telecommunications in the two states covered by the license. See Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(A).

**Example (iv).** Same facts as in Example 3 except that Wireless Corp is not taxable in the adjacent state in which the FCC license authorizes it to operate wireless telecommunications services. The receipts paid to Wireless Corp that would be assigned to the adjacent state must be excluded from the denominator of Wireless Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

**Example (v).** Sports League Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state], sells the rights to broadcast the sporting events played by the teams in its league in all 50 U.S. states to Network Corp. Although the games played by Sports League Corp will be broadcast in all 50 states, the games are of greater interest in the northeast region of the country, including [state]. Because the intangible property sold is a contract right that authorizes the holder to conduct a business activity in a specified geographic area, Sports League Corp must attempt to reasonably approximate the extent to which the intangible property is used in or may be used in [state]. For purposes of making this reasonable approximation, Sports League Corp may rely upon audience measurement...
information that identifies the percentage of the audience for its sporting events in [state] and the other states. See Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(A).

Example (vi). Same facts as in Example 5, except that Sports League Corp is not taxable in one state. The receipts paid to Sports League Corp that would be assigned to that state must be excluded from the denominator of Sports League Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17(c) and Reg. IV.17.(a).(6)(D).

Example (vii). Inventor Corp, a corporation that is based outside [state], sells patented technology that it has developed to Buyer Corp, a business customer that is based in [state]. Assume that the sale is not one in which the receipts derive from payments that are contingent on the productivity, use or disposition of the property. See Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(A). Inventor Corp understands that Buyer Corp is likely to use the patented technology in [state], but the patented technology can be used anywhere (i.e., the rights sold are not rights that authorize the holder to conduct a business activity in a specific geographic area). The receipts from the sale of the patented technology are excluded from the numerator and denominator of Inventor Corp’s receipts factor. See Article IV.17.(a).(4)(ii)(C), Reg. IV.17.(f).(1)(D).

Reg. IV.17.(g). Special Rules.

(1) Software Transactions.

A license or sale of pre-written software for purposes other than commercial reproduction (or other exploitation of the intellectual property rights) transferred on a tangible medium is treated as the sale of tangible personal property, rather than as either the license or sale of intangible property or the performance of a service. In these cases, the receipts are in [state] as determined under the rules for the sale of tangible personal property set forth under Article IV.16. and related regulations. In all other cases, the receipts from a license or sale of software are to be assigned to [state] as determined otherwise under Reg. IV.17. (e.g., depending on the facts, as the development and sale of custom software, see Reg. IV.17.(d).(3), as a license of a marketing intangible, see Reg. IV.17.(e).(2), as a license of a production intangible, see Reg. IV.17.(e).(3), as a license of intangible property where the substance of the transaction resembles a sale of goods or services, see Reg. IV.17.(e).(5), or as a sale of intangible property, see Reg. IV.17.(f).

(2) Sales or Licenses of Digital Goods or Services.

(A) In general.

In the case of a sale or license of digital goods or services, including, among other things, the sale of various video, audio and software products or similar transactions, the receipts from the sale or license are assigned by applying the same rules as are set forth in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2, or 3, as if the transaction were a service delivered to an individual or business customer or delivered through or on behalf of an individual or business customer. For purposes of the analysis, it is not relevant what the terms
of the contractual relationship are or whether the sale or license might be characterized, depending upon the particular facts, as, for example, the sale or license of intangible property or the performance of a service. See Regs IV.17.(e).(5) and (f).(1)(C).

(B) Telecommunications Companies.

In the case of a taxpayer that provides telecommunications or ancillary services and that is thereby subject to Reg. IV.18(i), receipts from the sale or license of digital goods or services not otherwise assigned for apportionment purposes pursuant to that regulation are assigned pursuant to this Reg. IV.17(g)(2)(B), by applying the rules set forth in Reg. IV.17.(d).(3)(B)2. or 3. as if the transaction were a service delivered to an individual or business customer or delivered through or on behalf of an individual or business customer. However, in applying these rules, if the taxpayer cannot determine the state or states where a customer receives the purchased product it may reasonably approximate this location using the customer’s place of “primary use” of the purchased product, applying the definition of “primary use” set forth in Reg. IV.18.(i).